

**C.M.No.15652 of 2008 &
C. W. P. No.19140 of 1997**

[1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

**C.M.No.15652 of 2008 &
C. W. P. No.19140 of 1997**

Date of Decision: 18 - 11 - 2008

Sukhdev Singh and others

....Petitioners

v.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KANWALJIT SINGH AHLUWALIA

Present: Ms.Disha Jaiswal, Advocate for
Mr.Deepak Sibal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Satish Bhanot, Sr.DAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1,2 and 5.

Mr.Puneet Kansal, Advocate
for respondents No.3 and 4.

KANWALJIT SINGH AHLUWALIA (ORAL)

On 6.11.2008, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Mr.Satish Bhanot, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from Mr.H.S.Sidhu, Joint Registrar, Co-operative Societies, states that the case of the petitioners is squarely covered by a Division Bench judgment of this Court in **Balbir Singh and Others v. State of Punjab and Others (Civil Writ Petition No.14190 of 2003 decided**

on 24.9.2008).

Mr.Bhanot further states that this writ petition can be allowed in the same terms.

A request has been made that father of Mr.Puneet Kansal, Advocate, appearing for respondents No.3 and 4, has expired, therefore, the case be taken up on some other date.

Case to come up for hearing on 18.11.2008.

Mr.Bhanot further states, on instructions from Mr.Sidhu, that earnest efforts will be made to grant relief to the petitioners keeping into consideration the ratio of the judgment rendered in **Balbir Singh's case** (supra)."

As is evident, even though the counsel for the State has made a statement that this case can be allowed in terms of **Balbir Singh's case (supra)**, the case was adjourned as Mr.Puneet Kansal, appearing for respondents No.3 and 4 could not cause appearance due to untimely death of his father.

In view of the statement made by Mr.Bhanot on instructions from Mr.H.S.Sidhu, Joint Registrar, Co-operative Societies, the present writ petition is allowed in same terms as **Balbir Singh's case (supra)**, as counsel for the State has stated that present petition is squarely covered by the decision rendered in **Balbir Singh's case (supra)**.

(KANWALJIT SINGH AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

November 18, 2008.